

Dear Ms. Langlie,

10/30/93

Before getting your letter of the 26th and the proofs, of which I had no advance indications, we had arrangements made for later today, with a TV crew from abroad, and for all of Monday, when someone from the Washington Post will be here. While I hope to be able to return the corrected proofs to you by the 4th, if there are any problems it may be delayed a bit. As of now I plan to send you by Express Mail later today what I've read by the time that TV crew gets here and my wife has been able to copy. This will depend on when that crew leaves and on the weather. We live in the country and have incoming and outgoing mail at the same time. It will not be possible for my wife to get much copying done by mail time. This is a Saturday. The post office in town is open until 2. If I can make that you should have this Monday. I want that so you can know that in the proof set there is no sheet for pages 342-3. And, of course, send them.

Because of eye problems this takes me much longer than it would most people but if there are no problems tomorrow I should get much more done then.

Aside from the need to correct the title, what also was agreed to is not in the proofs as it was not in the King book. This is the last of my books and the statement that the unabridged books are available from me. This was my original agreement with David Gallen, it is normal, it cannot influence sales because readers will have to have the book to be aware of it, and it can eliminate the waste of time for me in responding to phone calls and letters. I really do not have much time to waste.

What also struck me and I think is needed is an explanation of this book. I think that is needed at the beginning.


When the title is corrected to be in accord with the agreement that also will require changing the line at the top of each even-numbered page.

I wrote you in haste as soon as I got the package over this, it is that important to us. I refused to agree to ^{the} reprinting of Whitewash ^{the} or the use of that title alone. The conversation I refer to at the beginning of my letter of the 27th ^{at} with someone at C & G who phoned over my complaint about ^{the} the title first given this after it had already created problems and cost us some sales. It was "The Complete Whitewash." As, if course, it also was not.

I cannot emphasize the importance of this to us more than it is. I await prompt assurance from you that it will be corrected in accord with our agreement.

Most of the corrections are typos.

Sincerely,



Harold Eiseberg